

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Yuginis 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/086,963   | 02/28/2002     | James B. Crews       | 304-27440-US 6754       |                 |
| 24923 7  | 590 05/20/2003 |                      |                         |                 |
| PAUL S MADAN<br>MADAN, MOSSMAN & SRIRAM, PC<br>2603 AUGUSTA, SUITE 700 |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|  |                |                      | METZMAIER, DANIEL S     |                 |
| HOUSTON, TX 77057-1130   |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  |                |                      | 1712                    | a               |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · <b>)</b>   |  | W-   |
|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
| Advisory Action  | 10/086,963   | CREWS, JAMES B.  |
| Advisory Addon   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|  | Daniel S. Metzmaier  | 1712   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address   |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FILED 08 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FILED TO PLACE THEORY FILED OF THEORY FILED OF THE PLACE THEORY FILED TO PLACE THEORY FILED T | avoid abandonment of this appli<br>(1) a timely filed amendment wh   | cation. A proper reply to a<br>ich places the application in   |
|  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the set in t | of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appelland 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)  | t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal  | period set forth in<br>of the appeal.  |
| $2. \boxtimes \   \mathbf{The}  \mathbf{proposed}  \mathbf{amendment(s)}  \mathbf{will}  \mathbf{not}  \mathbf{be}  \mathbf{entered}$  | because:   |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furt  | her consideration and/or search  | (see NOTE below);  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note   | below);  |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | in better form for appeal by ma  | terially reducing or simplifying the   |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance   | eling a corresponding number of  | finally rejected claims.   |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje   |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | d be allowable if submitted in a   | separate, timely filed amendment   |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request to application in condition for allowance because: _  | for reconsideration has been cor   | sidered but does NOT place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ecause it is not directed SOLEL  | Y to issues which were newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims   |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows  | s:   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |
| 8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is a)□ approved or b)□ disap   | pproved by the Examiner.   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem   | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   |  |
| 10. ☐ Other:   |  | Daniel S. Metzmaier Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1712  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment inserts a limitation into the claims that was not previously present as now claimed. Said amendment presents new issue of the particular gelling agent in the methods and compositions and/or the obviousness of species in view of the art already of record.